rest had to be given to Brahmins. Later on, out of the 44 non-Brahmins selected only 35 took up appointments and 9 places fell vacant. They could not be filled up. So the matter was reported to Government and, with their permission, 9 Dafterbands were appointed as surveyors.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Why did you not advertise again before giving the appointments to Dafterbands?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—I cannot say why it was not re-advertised. This is an old matter which I personally am not aware of.

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—This matter is really 5 or 6 years old and he cannot answer for things done or left undone at that time.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Were all these Dafterbands qualified for appointment as surveyors? And how is it that 8 out of 9 of them are Brahmins?

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—I will look into it.

Mr. H. K. MADIAH.—What are the special reasons for giving some of them 3, 4 or 5 promotions in one year?

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—This point has been discussed already.

DEWAN.—It is all a question of merit. If they work well, why should they not get the promotion due to them?

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Then, what about seniority?

DEWAN.—It is mainly based on merit and not on seniority. It is open to the Head of the Department to order or recommend, as the case may be, special preferment.

Promotions to Surveyors.

Q.—33. Messrs. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk) and **A. S. NANJUNDAPPA** (Alur Sub-Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state

(*a*) what is the principle on which promotions are given to surveyors of field section;

(*b*) (i) is it not a fact that some surveyors got double and treble promotions in a single year; (ii) if so, how many and to what caste do they belong; and (iii) whether they are Mysoreans;

(*c*) is it not a fact that promotions were given to the first batch consisting only of Brahmins;

(*d*) is it not a fact that non-Brahmin surveyors, who were confirmed in appointments in the period between 1916 and 1928, were not given any promotions, though their juniors appointed in 1928 got promotions;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that a list for promotions was called for during 1933, from among the second batch of surveyors;

(*f*) if so, were any promotions given to the non-Brahmins on the list?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—(*a*) Seniority and approved service.

(*b*) (i) Yes.
(ii) 20 Brahmins.
(iii) Yes.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) No.

(*e*) No.

(*f*) Does not arise in view of the answer to (*e*).

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Why is it that Brahmins who were appointed as surveyors in 1928 were given 2 or 3 promotions but the non-Brahmins appointed in 1926 were not given even one?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—Double or treble promotions were not given to anybody.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Where promotions were given, were they based on grounds of efficiency or any other grounds?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—On seniority as well as approved service.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that, in respect of the work of some of them while out on duty as special survey parties, discrepancies were found, another set of people had to be sent out and the pay of the original set of people was withheld for some months?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—It is not so. So far as the Survey Department is concerned, these people are expected to turn out a certain minimum quantity of work. They would get their full pay

only if that minimum quantity of work is done. If their work is less than the minimum, their pay will be reduced. That is just what happens always.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Are the Government aware that the work of those who got three or four promotions was found to be unsatisfactory, that it had therefore to be redone by another set of hands and that the former set of people were made to pay back a portion of the pay which they had already drawn?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—This is not true. Nobody got three or four promotions.

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—If the work of the men promoted is not satisfactory, those who gave them promotion ought to take proper notice of it.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Why were promotions given to men whom the Head of the Department knew as being inefficient?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—I gave promotions in order of seniority and for approved service only.

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—I shall enquire whether it was so.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—In cases where the Supervising Officer recommended punishment on account of defective work, the Head of the Department gave them promotions and in cases where promotions were recommended, they were not given. Are Government aware of both these circumstances?

Mr. V. VENKATAPPA.—As between one and the same batch of persons whose work was the same in kind and quality, why were some given double promotions and some not?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—Without going into details, I may say that all promotions have been given in the usual course by me in the order of seniority.

Particulars of Coffee and Other Crops raised in Dry Lands during the past 10 Years.

Q.—35. Messrs. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA and **SIDDALINGAPPA SETTY** (Chikmagalur Taluk); **K. MALLE GOWDA** and **HUTCHE GOWDA** (Mudgere Taluk); **N. M. SIVALINGAPPAIYA** and **K. THIMME GOWDA** (Kadur Taluk); **C. R. NIRVANAPPA SETTY** (Special Interest); **D. V. HALAPPA** (Birur Municipality); **HANJI BASAPPA** (Tarikere Taluk); **M. THIMMAIYA** (Tarikere Municipality) and **M. S. DYAVE GOWDA** (Koppa Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state (talukwar)

(*a*) (i) the circumstances under which coffee and other crops have been raised in dry lands during the past 10 years;

(ii) the particulars of crops so raised;

(*b*) the details of upset price, penalty, etc., if any, levied in such cases, with reasons therefor;

(*c*) (i) the number of instances in which plantain and other garden crops were raised in coffee lands, and (ii) the amount of additional levy, if any, on this account?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—(*a*) It is reported that coffee and cardamom crops have been raised in a few cases where portions of dry lands have been found fit for raising such crops.

		Rs.	a.	p.
(*b*) Upset price	...	795	8	0
Penalty	...	102	0	0

(*c*) (i) 7 instances.
(ii) Rs. 156.

REPRESENTATIONS—(*concld.*)

No. 145.—Financial aid to coffee and areca planters.

MESSRS. MEER MAHOMED, Minorities, and M. THIMMIAH, Tarikere Municipality.

Mr. MEER MAHOMED represented that the price of coffee and areca which were the main commercial crops in the malnad was going down for the last two or three years and had reached the lowest level during the current year. The planters were carrying on their business with the help of borrowed funds as Government had not rendered them any help. If the present state of affairs continued for a few more years,

only if that minimum quantity of work is done. If their work is less than the minimum, their pay will be reduced. That is just what happens always.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Are the Government aware that the work of those who got three or four promotions was found to be unsatisfactory, that it had therefore to be redone by another set of hands and that the former set of people were made to pay back a portion of the pay which they had already drawn?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—This is not true. Nobody got three or four promotions.

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—If the work of the men promoted is not satisfactory, those who gave them promotion ought to take proper notice of it.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Why were promotions given to men whom the Head of the Department knew as being inefficient?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—I gave promotions in order of seniority and for approved service only.

Mr. K. MATTHAN.—I shall enquire whether it was so.

Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—In cases where the Supervising Officer recommended punishment on account of defective work, the Head of the Department gave them promotions and in cases where promotions were recommended, they were not given. Are Government aware of both these circumstances?

Mr. V. VENKATAPPA.—As between one and the same batch of persons whose work was the same in kind and quality, why were some given double promotions and some not?

Mr. G. B. HEBLIKAR.—Without going into details, I may say that all promotions have been given in the usual course by me in the order of seniority.

Particulars of Coffee and Other Crops raised in Dry Lands during the past 10 Years.

Q.—35. Messrs. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA and **SIDDALINGAPPA SETTY** (Chikmagalur Taluk); **K. MALLE GOWDA** and **HUTCHE GOWDA** (Mudgere Taluk); **N. M. SIVALINGAPPAIYA** and **K. THIMME GOWDA** (Kadur Taluk); **C. R. NIRVANAPPA SETTY** (Special Interest); **D. V. HALAPPA** (Birur Municipality); **HANJI BASAPPA** (Tarikere Taluk); **M. THIMMAIYA** (Tarikere Municipality) and **M. S. DYAVE GOWDA** (Koppa Taluk).—Will the Government be pleased to state (talukwar)

(*a*) (i) the circumstances under which coffee and other crops have been raised in dry lands during the past 10 years;

(ii) the particulars of crops so raised;

(*b*) the details of upset price, penalty, etc., if any, levied in such cases, with reasons therefor;

(*c*) (i) the number of instances in which plantain and other garden crops were raised in coffee lands, and (ii) the amount of additional levy, if any, on this account?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—(*a*) It is reported that coffee and cardamom crops have been raised in a few cases where portions of dry lands have been found fit for raising such crops.

		Rs.	a.	p.
(*b*) Upset price	...	795	8	0
Penalty	...	102	0	0

(*c*) (i) 7 instances.
(ii) Rs. 156.

REPRESENTATIONS—(*concld.*)

No. 145.—Financial aid to coffee and areca planters.

MESSRS. MEER MAHOMED, Minorities, and M. THIMMIAH, Tarikere Municipality.

Mr. MEER MAHOMED represented that the price of coffee and areca which were the main commercial crops in the malnad was going down for the last two or three years and had reached the lowest level during the current year. The planters were carrying on their business with the help of borrowed funds as Government had not rendered them any help. If the present state of affairs continued for a few more years,